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INTRODUCTION
Molecular Modeling is a tool for doing chemistry. Models are central for understanding of chemistry. Molecular modeling allows us to do and teach chemistry better by providing better tools for investigating, interpreting, explaining and discovering new phenomena. Molecular docking is a study of Receptor of Protein, fit together. The problem is like solving a 3 dimensional puzzle. For example, the action of a harmful protein in human body may be prohibited by finding an inhibitor, which binds to that particular protein. Molecular Modeling encompasses all theoretical methods and computational techniques used to model or mimic the behavior of molecules. Molecular Docking software’s are mainly used in drug development. The most important application of docking software is virtual screening. In virtual screening the most interesting and promising Molecules are selected from an existing database for further research. This review has basic Information about Molecular Modeling, Molecular Docking, Basic Concepts of Docking, Docking Approaches, Mechanics of Docking, Docking software’s for further development in this field.
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ABSTRACT
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INTRODUCTION
Molecular Modeling is a tool for doing chemistry. Models are central for understanding of chemistry. Molecular modeling allows us to do and teach chemistry better by providing better tools for investigating, interpreting, explaining and discovering new phenomena. Like experimental chemistry, it is a skill-demanding science and must be learnt by doing and not just reading. Molecular modeling is easy to perform with currently available software, but the difficulty lies in getting the right model and proper interpretation. Molecular modeling is the general term used to describe the use of computers to construct molecules and perform a variety of calculations on these molecules in order to predict their chemical characteristics and behavior. The term molecular modeling is often used synonymously with the term computational chemistry. Computational chemistry is a broader term, referring to any use of computers to study chemical systems. Some chemists use the term computational quantum chemistry to refer to the use of computers to perform electronic structure calculations, where the electrons in a chemical system are calculated. 1
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In recent years the search for novel drugs has evolved from a process of trial and error into a sophisticated procedure including several computer-based approaches. In structure-based design the structures of known target proteins are used to discover new compounds of therapeutically relevance. The approaches can be classified roughly into two categories: de novo design and docking. 3

Basic Concept
Docking is the formation of non dent protein-ligand complexes. Given the structures of a ligand and a protein, the task is to predict the structure of the resulting complex. This is the so-called docking problem. Because the native geometry of the complex can generally be assumed to reflect the global minimum of the binding free energy, docking is actually an energy-optimization problem. Accordingly, heuristic approximations are frequently required to render the problem tractable within a reasonable time frame. The development of docking methods is therefore also concerned with making the right assumptions and finding acceptable simplifications that still provide a sufficiently accurate and predictive model for protein-ligand interactions 4

The former method designs new ligands to fit the protein target, whereas the latter is used to decide whether existing compounds possess a good steric and chemical complementarity to the given protein. 3

MOLECULAR DOCKING
In the field of molecular modeling, docking is a method which predicts the preferred orientation of one molecule to a second when bound to each other to form a stable complex. Knowledge of the preferred orientation in turn may be used to predict the strength of association or binding affinity between two molecules using for example scoring functions. The associations between biologically relevant molecules such as proteins, nucleic acids, carbohydrates, and lipids play a central role in signal transduction. Furthermore, the
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Figure 1: Different Models of 1, 3, 4-Oxadiazoles Derivative
Molecular modeling encompasses all theoretical methods and computational techniques used to model or mimic the behavior of molecules. The techniques are used in the fields of computational chemistry, computational biology and materials science for studying molecular systems ranging from small chemical systems to large biological molecules and material assemblies. The simplest calculations can be performed by hand, but inevitably computers are required to perform molecular modeling of any reasonably sized system. The common feature of molecular modeling techniques is the atomistic level description of the molecular systems; the lowest level of information is individual atoms (or a small group of atoms). This is in contrast to quantum chemistry (also known as electronic structure calculations) where electrons are considered explicitly. The benefit of molecular modeling is that it reduces the complexity of the system, allowing many more particles (atoms) to be considered during simulations. 2
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relative orientation of the two interacting partners may affect the type of signal produced. Therefore docking is useful for predicting both the strength and type of signal produced.

Molecular Docking is frequently used to predict the binding orientation of small molecule drug candidates to their protein targets in order to in turn predict the affinity and activity of the small molecule. Hence docking plays an important role in the rational design of drugs. Given the biological and pharmaceutical significance of molecular docking, considerable efforts have been directed towards improving the methods used to predict docking.

Molecular docking is difficult because of the many states accessible to macromolecules and their ligands, and the problem of calculating accurate energies. The number of accessible states grows exponentially with the degrees of freedom of the docking molecules. Energy calculations in condensed phases must subtract large numbers to arrive at small differences, almost guaranteeing inaccuracy.

Molecular docking can be thought of as a problem of “lock-and-key”, where one is interested in finding the correct relative orientation of the “key” which will open up the “lock” (where on the surface of the lock is the key hole, which direction to turn the key after it is inserted, etc.). Here, the protein can be thought of as the “lock” and the ligand can be thought of as a “key”. Molecular docking may be defined as an optimization problem, which would describe the “best-fit” orientation of a ligand that binds to a particular protein of interest. However, since both the ligand and the protein are flexible, a “hand-in-glove” analogy is more appropriate than “lock-and-key”.

During the course of the process, the ligand and the protein adjust their conformation to achieve an overall “best-fit” and this kind of conformational adjustments resulting in the overall binding is referred to as “induced-fit”.

The focus of molecular docking is to computationally simulate the molecular recognition process. The aim of molecular docking is to achieve an optimized conformation for both the protein and ligand and relative orientation between protein and ligand such that the free energy of the overall system is minimized.

Exerts its biological activity by binding to the pocket of receptor molecule (usually protein). In their binding conformations, the molecules exhibit geometric and chemical complementarity, both of which are essential for successful drug activity. The computational process of searching for a ligand that is able to fit both geometrically and energetically into the binding site of a protein is called molecular docking. Molecular docking helps in studying drug/ligand or receptor/protein interactions by identifying the suitable active sites in protein, obtaining the best geometry of ligand-receptor complex and calculating the energy of interaction for different ligands to design more effective ligands.

The target or receptor is either experimentally known or theoretically generated through knowledge based protein modeling or homology modeling. The molecular docking tool has been developed to obtain a preferred geometry of interaction of ligand-receptor complexes having minimum interaction energy based on different scoring functions viz. only electrostatics, sum of steric and electrostatic (parameters from MMFF force field) and Dock Score. This utility allows one to screen a set of compounds for lead optimization.

One key aspect of molecular modeling is calculating the energy of conformations and interactions using methods ranging from quantum mechanics to purely empirical energy functions. Molecular docking energy evaluations are usually carried out with the help of a scoring function. Developing these scoring functions is a major challenge in structure based drug design. Efficiency and accuracy of geometric modeling of the binding process to obtain correct docking solutions depends on scoring function. Usually scoring functions are based on force fields that were initially designed to simulate the function of proteins. Some scoring functions used in molecular docking have been adapted to include terms such as salvation and entropy. The challenge of the lead-generation phase of the receptor-ligand docking approach is to quickly screen millions of possible compounds that fit a particular receptor and to specifically select those that show a high affinity. The set of ligands thus selected can then be screened further by either more involved computational technique, such as free-energy perturbation theory, or directly in assays. Many techniques have been proposed that address specific parts of this challenge. Among the first were methods that simply evaluate whether a particular ligand can fit into the receptor pocket under the assumption of both rigid ligands and a rigid protein. This problem allows an enumerative approach; because there are only six degrees of freedom that completely specify the relative position of the ligand with respect to the receptor. Such techniques are reasonably fast.

Possible geometries can be scored by force field, empirical or knowledge-based methods. (MDS allows user to select different intermolecular interactions viz. steric, electrostatic). In addition, a flexible ligand docking includes molecules internal degree of freedom along with values of translation and rotation in search of its suitable bound conformation that makes it computationally more expensive than rigid ligand docking. Distinction of good or bad docked conformation is based on scoring or fitness function. (MDS uses fitness functions on only electrostatic and both steric and electrostatic interactions between receptor-ligand as well as Dock Score scoring function. The Dock score or XC score as it is called...
compute binding affinity of a given protein ligand complex with known 3-D structure. Dock/ XC score scoring function include terms for Vander Walls interaction, hydrogen bonding, deformation penalty, hydrophobic effects.

The Grid based docking is a rigid and exhaustive docking method. In this method, after unique conformers of the ligand are generated, the receptor cavity of interest is chosen by the user and a grid is generated around the cavity (default grid interval size 1 Å).

**DOCKING APPROACHES**

Two approaches are particularly popular within the molecular docking community. One approach uses a matching technique that describes the protein and the ligand as complementary surfaces. The second approach simulates the actual docking process in which the ligand is generated and the corresponding bumps are checked for each pose of ligand. The X-C score is calculated for each valid pose (determined by the cut off criteria fed by user in terms of max no of allowed bumps) and the pose of the ligand with the best score is given as output to user. Though this method is for one ligand for a given receptor, it can also be applied to a set of ligands/their conformers in a batch grid docking mode. MDS also incorporates the Piecewise Linear Pairs Potential (PLP) function in PLP docking (rigid docking) method that includes ligand-receptor interactions of hydrogen bonding (donor-acceptor), repulsions (donor-donor, acceptor-acceptor) and dispersion (involving non-polar group interactions) types.

**Shape Complementarity**

Geometric matching/ shape complementarily methods describe the protein and ligand as a set of features that make them dock able. These features may include molecular surface/ complementary surface descriptors. In this case, the receptor’s molecular surface is described in terms of its solvent-accessible surface area and the ligand’s molecular surface is described in terms of its matching surface description. The complementarily between the two surfaces amounts to the shape matching description that may help finding the complementary pose of docking the target and the ligand molecules. Another approach is to describe the hydrophobic features of the protein using turns in the main-chain atoms. Yet another approach is to use a Fourier shape descriptor technique.

Whereas the shape complementarily based approaches are typically fast and robust, they cannot usually model the movements or dynamic changes in the ligand/ protein conformations accurately, although recent developments allow these methods to investigate ligand flexibility. Shape complementarily methods can quickly scan through several thousand ligands in a matter of seconds and actually figure out whether they can bind at the protein’s active site, and are usually scalable to even protein-protein interactions. They are also much more amenable to pharmacophore based approaches, since they use geometric descriptions of the ligands to find optimal binding.

**Simulation**

The simulation of the docking process as such is a much more complicated process. In this approach, the protein and the ligand are separated by some physical distance, and the ligand finds its position into the protein’s active site after a certain number of “moves” in its conformational space. The moves incorporate rigid body transformations such as translations and rotations, as well as internal changes to the ligand’s structure including torsion angle rotations. Each of these moves in the conformation space of the ligand induces a total energetic cost of the system, and hence after every move the total energy of the system is calculated. The obvious advantage of the method is that it is more amenable to incorporate ligand flexibility into its modeling whereas shape complementarily techniques have to use some ingenious methods to incorporate flexibility in ligands. Another advantage is that the process is physically closer to what happens in reality, when the protein and ligand approach each other after molecular recognition. A clear disadvantage of this technique is that it takes longer time to evaluate the optimal pose of binding since they have to explore a rather large energy landscape. However grid-based techniques as well as fast optimization methods have significantly ameliorated these problems.

Docking can be between.

- Protein / small ligand
- Protein / peptide
- Protein / protein
- Protein / nucleotide

**MECHANICS OF DOCKING**

To perform a docking screen, the first requirement is a structure of the protein of interest. Usually the structure has been determined using a biophysical technique such as x-ray crystallography, or less often, NMR spectroscopy. This protein structure and a database of potential ligands serve as inputs to a docking program. The success of a docking program depends on two components: the search algorithm and the scoring function.

**Search algorithm**

The search space in theory consists of all possible orientations and conformations of the protein paired with the ligand. However in practice with current computational resources, it is impossible to exhaustively explore the search space for this would involve enumerating all possible distortions of each molecule (molecules are dynamic and exist in an ensemble of conformational states) and all possible rotational and translational orientations of the ligand relative to the protein at a given level of granularity. Most docking programs in use account for a flexible ligand, and several attempt to model a flexible protein receptor. Each "snapshot" of the pair is referred to as a pose.

Figure 6: Design and Experimental Testing of Docking Algorithms
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A rigorous search algorithm would exhaustively elucidate all possible binding modes between the ligand and receptor. All six degrees of translational and rotational freedom of the ligand would be explored along with the internal conformational degrees of freedom of both the ligand and protein. However, this is impractical due to the size of the search space. For a simple system comprising a ligand with four rotatable bonds and six rigid-body alignment parameters, the search space has been estimated as follows. The alignment parameters are used to position the ligand relative to the protein in a cubic active site measuring 103 Å^3. If the angles are considered in 10 degree increments and translational parameters on a 0.5 Å grid there are approximately 4×10^9 rigid body degrees of freedom to sample, corresponding to 6×10^14 configurations (including the four rotatable torsions) to be searched. This would require approximately 2 000 000 years of computational time at a rate of 10 configurations per second. As a consequence only a small amount of the total conformational space can be sampled, and so a balance must be reached between the computational expense and the amount of the search space examined.

**Ligand flexibility**

Conformations of the ligand may be generated in the absence of the receptor binding cavity. Force field energy evaluation are most often used to select energetically reasonable conformations, but knowledge-based methods have also been used.

**Receptor flexibility**

Computational capacity has increased dramatically over the last decade making possible the use of more sophisticated and computationally intensive methods in computer-assisted drug design. However, dealing with receptor flexibility in docking methodologies is still a thorny issue. The main reason behind this difficulty is the large number of degrees of freedom that have to be considered in this kind of calculations.

A single, fixed conformation, even the average provided by a crystal structure, may not be an adequate representation of the protein, unless the system is very rigid. Instead, even under standard equilibrium conditions, the native folded state of a protein is best characterized by a collection or ensemble of energetically nearly equivalent conformations. If the conditions are changed, the local minima and the population of these states may shift, eventually resulting in an observable change of the average structure. Also, the introduction of a ligand corresponds to a change of the environment that may lead to similar effects. Accordingly, the binding conformation of the receptor may already be present in the ensemble of protein conformations and the ligand does not actively deform a fixed state of the protein, as generally inferred from the “induced fit” model.

**Docking and De Novo Design Methods**

For the purpose of this review, a broad distinction is made between docking algorithms and de novo design methods. This is arguably subjective and in many cases significant overlap in methodology occurs between the two strategies. Examples of de novo design tools are BUILDER, CONCEPTS, CONCERTS, DLD/MCSS, Gens tar, Group-Build, Grow, HOOK, Legend, LUDI, MCDNLG, SMOG and SPROUT. LUDI is given as an example of a de novo design tool applied to the docking problem.

**SCORING FUNCTION**

Scoring functions are fast approximate mathematical methods used to predict the strength of the non-covalent interaction between two molecules after they have been docked. Most commonly one of the molecules is a small organic compound such as a drug and the second is the drug's biological target such as a protein receptor.

Scoring functions have also been developed to predict the strength of other types of intermolecular interactions, for example between two proteins or between protein and DNA.

The scoring function takes a pose as input and returns a number indicating the likelihood that the pose represents a favorable binding interaction. Most scoring functions are physics-based molecular mechanics force fields that estimate the energy of the pose; a low (negative) energy indicates a stable system and thus a likely binding interaction. An alternative approach is to derive a statistical potential for interactions from a large database of protein-ligand complexes, such as the Protein Data Bank, and evaluate the fit of the pose according to this inferred potential. Scoring is actually composed of three different aspects relevant to docking and design:

1. Ranking of the configurations generated by the docking search for one ligand interacting with a given protein; this aspect is essential to detect the binding mode best approximating the experimentally observed situation.
2. Ranking different ligands with respect to the binding to one protein, that is, prioritizing ligands according to their affinity; this aspect is essential in virtual screening.
3. Ranking one or different ligands with respect to their binding affinity to different proteins; this aspect is essential for the consideration of selectivity and specificity.

Scoring methods can range from molecular mechanics force fields such as AMBER, OPLS or CHARMM through to empirical free energy scoring functions or knowledge based functions. The currently available docking methods utilize the scoring functions in one of two ways. The first approach uses the full scoring function to rank a protein ligand conformation. The system is then modified by the search algorithm, and the same scoring function is again applied to rank the new structure.
VIRTUAL SCREENING
Virtual screening (VS) is a computational technique used in drug discovery research. It involves the rapid in silico assessment of large libraries of chemical structures in order to identify those structures which are most likely to bind to a drug target, typically a protein receptor or enzyme.

Virtual screening has become an integral part of the drug discovery process. Related to the more general and long pursued concept of database searching, the term "virtual screening" is relatively new. Walters, et al. define virtual screening as "automatically evaluating very large libraries of compounds" using computer programs. As this definition suggests, VS has largely been a numbers game focusing on questions like how can we filter down the enormous chemical space of over $10^{46}$ conceivable compounds to a manageable number that can be synthesized, purchased, and tested. Although filtering the entire chemical universe might be a fascinating question, more practical VS scenarios focus on designing and optimizing targeted combinatorial libraries and enriching libraries of available compounds from in-house compound repositories or vendor offerings.

The purpose of virtual screening is to come up with hits of novel chemical structure that bind to the macromolecular target of interest. Thus, success of a virtual screen is defined in terms of finding interesting new scaffolds rather than many hits. Interpretations of VS accuracy should therefore be considered with caution. Low hit rates of interesting scaffolds are clearly preferable over high hit rates of already known scaffolds.

The general strategy of a virtual screening process based on the 3D structure of a target typically involves the following steps: Analysis of the 3D protein structure. Computational search in chemical databases for compounds that potentially satisfy the key interactions, fit into the binding site, and form additional interactions with the protein; this is done by means of docking and/or structure-based pharmacophore searches. Post processing by analyzing the retrieved hits and removing undesirable compounds.

Large scale docking and virtual screening
Molecular docking is often used in virtual screening methods, whereby large virtual libraries of compounds are reduced in size to a manageable subset, which, if successful, includes molecules with high binding affinities to a target receptor. The potential for a docking algorithm to be used as a virtual screening tool is based on both speed and accuracy. This review will therefore highlight those docking methods that have been used in virtual screening applications.

DETERMINATION OF MOLECULAR PROPERTIES
Molecular properties are important indicators of various chemical molecules including pharmaceuticals. Molecular properties are normally categorized as physical, chemical and biological. The three major computational methods used for calculation of properties of molecules are:

i) Empirical (molecular mechanics): Molecular mechanics methods are less complicated, fast, and are able to handle very large systems including enzymes. Molecular mechanics is a formalism which attempts to reproduce molecular geometries, energies and other features by adjusting bond lengths, bond angles and torsion angles to equilibrium values that are dependent on the hybridization of an atom and its bonding scheme. A force field is used to calculate the energy and geometry of a molecule. It is a collection of atom types, parameters and equations.

ii) Molecular dynamics: Molecular dynamics simulations have been used in a variety of biomolecular applications. The technique, when combined with data derived from NMR studies, has been used to derive 3D structures for peptides and small proteins in cases where X-ray crystallography was not practical. Additionally, structural, dynamic and thermodynamic data from molecular dynamics has provided insights into the structure function relationships, binding affinities, mobility and stability of proteins, nucleic acids and other macromolecules that cannot be obtained from static models.

iii) Quantum mechanics: Quantum mechanics is one of the oldest mathematical formalisms of theoretical chemistry. In its purest form, quantum theory uses well-known physical constants such as velocity of light, values for the masses and charges of nuclear particles and differential equations to directly calculate molecular properties and geometrics. Molecular properties can be derived from the Schrodinger equation:

$$\text{Hy} = E\text{y}$$

Where $E$= energy of the system; $y$= wave function; $H$= Hamiltonian operator.

Determination of Drug Excipient Interactions
Molecular modeling technique became popular to study the drug-excipient interaction which helps to visualize the type and site of interaction on a computer monitor. It was reported in a study that seven glucose units were combined to get a well shaped energy minimized conformation. The cavity depth, diameter of a wider and narrower rim were calculated and compared to the literature values using DTMM package. Similarly, norfloxacin, ciprofloxacin, etc. structures were built to get energy minimized conformation. The dimensions of these molecules were measured and compared to literature values. The drug molecules were allowed to penetrate through the cavity and the probability of penetration was observed. Finally, the success in the formation of inclusion complex of betacyclodextrin with norfloxacin, ciprofloxacin, tinidazole and metrotexate was reported.

DOCKING SOFTWARES
Auto Dock
Auto Dock uses Monte Carlo simulated annealing and Lamarckian genetic algorithm to create a set of possible conformations. LGA is used as a global optimizer and energy minimization as a local search method. Possible orientations are evaluated with AMBER force yield model in conjunction with free energy scoring functions and a large set of protein-ligand complexes with known protein-ligand constants. The newest yet unreleased version 4 should contain side chain exibility. AutoDock has more informative web pages than its competitors and because of its free academic license; it is a good starting point when wondering into the world of molecular docking software.

DOCK
DOCK is one of the oldest and best known ligand-protein docking programs. The initial version used rigid ligands; exibility was later incorporated via incremental construction of the ligand in the binding pocket. As said DOCK is a fragment-based method using shape and chemical complementary methods for creating possible orientations for the ligand. These orientations can be scored using different scoring functions; however none of them contain explicit hydrogen-bonding terms, solvation / desolvation terms, or hydrophobicity terms thus limiting serious use. DOCK seems to handle well a polar binding site and is useful for fast docking, but it is not the most accurate software available.

Gold
Gold has won a lot of new users during the last few years because of its good results in impartial tests. It has a good hit rate overall, however it somewhat when dealing with hydrophobic binding pockets. Gold uses genetic algorithm to provide docking of exible ligand and a protein with exible hydroxyl groups. Otherwise the protein is considered to be rigid. This makes it a good choice when the binding pocket contains amino acids that form hydrogen bonds with the ligand. Gold uses a scoring function that is based on favorable conformations found in Cambridge Structural Database.
and on empirical results on weak chemical interactions. The development of GOLD is currently focused on improving the computational algorithm and adding a support for parallel processing. GOLD has one of the most comprehensive validation test sets and is also available for use at CSC.

**V Life MDS**


**Flex X**

Flex X is another fragment based method using exible ligands and rigid proteins. It uses MIMUMBA torsion angle database for the creation of conformers. The MIMUMBA is an interaction geometry database used to exactly describe intermolecular interaction patterns. For scoring, the Boehm function (with minor adaption’s necessary for docking) is applied. Flex X is introduced here to pronounce the importance of scoring functions. Although Flex X and DOCK both are fragment based methods, they produce quite different results. On the contrary to DOCK which performs well with a polar binding sites, Flex X shows totally opposite behavior. It has a bit lower hit rate than DOCK but provides better estimates of Root Mean Square Distance for compounds with correctly predicted binding mode. There is an extension of Flex X called Flex E with exible receptors which has shown to produce better results with significantly lower running times.

**SIGNIFICANCE**

A binding interaction between a small molecule ligand and an enzyme protein may result in activation or inhibition of the enzyme. If the protein is a receptor, ligand binding may result in agonism or antagonism. Docking may be applied to:

- **Hit Identification** – docking combined with a scoring function can be used to quickly screen large databases of potential drugs in silico to identify molecules that are likely to bind to protein target of interest (see virtual screening).
- **Lead Optimization** – docking can be used to predict in where and in which relative orientation a ligand binds to a protein (also referred to as the binding mode or pose). This information may in turn be used to design more potent and selective analogs.
- **Bioremediation** – Protein ligand docking can also be used to predict pollutants that can be degraded by enzymes.

Estimating the binding affinity
- Searching for lead structures for protein targets
- Comparing a set of inhibitors
- Estimating the influence of modifications in lead structures
- De Novo Ligand Design
- Design of targeted combinatorial libraries
- Predicting the molecular complex
- Understanding the binding mode / principle
- Optimizing lead structures

**CONCLUSION**

Molecular Docking is safe and easy to use tool helps in investigating, interpreting, explaining and identification of molecular properties using three-dimensional structures. Molecular docking tries to predict the structure of the intermolecular complex formed between two or more constituent molecules. The techniques are used in the fields of computational chemistry, computational biology and materials science for studying molecular systems ranging from small chemical systems to large biological molecules and material assemblies. Most of the docking programs presently being used simulate the binding of a flexible ligand to a rigid biological receptor. This model does not reflect the actual physical process of binding and limits or in some cases even prevents the correct identification of potential drug candidates.
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